

MICHAEL J. IOANNOU (SBN 95208)
 KEVIN W. ISAACSON (SBN 281067)
 ANGIE CHANG (SBN 351887)
 AMANDA M. OGATA (SBN 354967)
 ROPERS MAJESKI PC
 333 W. Santa Clara St., Suite 910
 San Jose, CA 95113
 Telephone: 408.287.6262
 Facsimile: 408.918.4501
 Email: michael.ioannou@ropers.com
 kevin.isaacson@ropers.com
 angie.chang@ropers.com
 amanda.ogata@ropers.com

Attorneys for Defendants and Counterclaimants
 ANALOG DEVICES, INC. and
 MAXIM INTEGRATED PRODUCTS, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 SAN JOSE DIVISION

NUMBER 14 B.V.,

Plaintiff,

v.

ANALOG DEVICES, INC.; and MAXIM
INTEGRATED PRODUCTS, INC.

Defendants.

ANALOG DEVICES, INC.; and MAXIM
INTEGRATED PRODUCTS, INC.,

Counterclaimants,

v.

NUMBER 14 B.V., RUDY ESCHAUZIER,
and NICO VAN RIJN,

Counter-Defendants.

Case No.: 5:24-cv-02435-EJD

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
 ORDER RE: EXTENSION OF TIME
 FOR DEFENDANTS/COUNTER
 CLAIMANTS' TO FILE RESPONSE
 OR OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF/
 COUNTER-DEFENDANT'S MOTION
 TO DISMISS; EXTENSION OF TIME
 FOR DEFENDANTS/COUNTER
 CLAIMANTS' TO FILE RESPONSE
 OR OPPOSITION TO
 PLAINTIFF/COUNTER-
 DEFENDANT'S ADMINISTRATIVE
 MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER
 ANOTHER PARTY'S MATERIAL
 SHOULD BE SEALED (CIVIL L. R. 7-
 11, 79-5(f), and FRCP 83)**

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between Plaintiff NUMBER 14 B.V. ("Plaintiff")
 and Defendants/Counterclaimants ANALOG DEVICES, INC. and MAXIM INTEGRATED

PRODUCTS, INC. (collectively, “Defendants”), by and through their respective attorneys of record, that Defendants shall have up to and including August 9, 2024 to file and serve their Opposition to Plaintiff’s Motion to Dismiss (ECF No. 43) and August 2, 2024 to file and serve their response to Plaintiff’s Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Another Party’s Material Should be Sealed (ECF No. 42), both filed on July 19, 2024, pursuant to Civil Local Rules, Rules 6-1 and 6-2.

Good cause exists for an extension of time because Defendants’ primary attorney of record will be out of the office and without internet access, for seven of the fourteen days given to file its opposition to Plaintiff’s Motion to Dismiss, and for the entirety of time given to respond to the Plaintiff’s Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Another Party’s Material Should be Sealed. Also, Defendants agreed to extend Plaintiff’s deadline to file its response to Defendants’ Answer with Affirmative Defenses and Counterclaims by two weeks (ECF No. 38). Finally, an extension of time will have no effect on the schedule of the case. The next deadline is the case management statement, which is due on August 9, 2024. Further, the hearing for the Motion to Dismiss is scheduled for October 3, 2024, significantly beyond the stipulated deadline for Defendants to file its responses. Therefore, all parties in this action stipulate to this extension of time for Defendants to respond to Plaintiff’s Motion to Dismiss and Plaintiff’s Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Another Party’s Material Should be Sealed.

The time modifications in this case are unrelated to this stipulation, but as follows: (1) on May 28, 2024, the parties stipulated to an extension of time for Defendants to respond to the initial Complaint (ECF No. 17); (2) on June 18, 2024, pursuant to stipulation, this Court granted an extension of time for Plaintiff to respond to Defendants’ Administrative Motion to File Under Seal (ECF No. 27); and (3) on July 2, 2024, pursuant to stipulation, this Court granted an extension of time for Plaintiff to respond to Defendants’ Answer to Plaintiff’s Complaint with Affirmative Defenses and Counterclaims (ECF No. 39). No prior requests for an extension of time to respond to or oppose the Motion to Dismiss and the Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Another Party’s Material Should be Sealed have been made.

The Declaration of Amanda M. Ogata in support of this Stipulation to Extend Time is filed concurrently herewith.

Dated: July 24, 2024

GREENFIELD LLP

By: /s/ Rachel Thomas

RACHEL THOMAS
 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant NUMBER 14 B.V. and Specially Appearing for Counter-Defendants RUDY ESCHAUZIER and NICO VAN RIJN

Dated: July 24, 2024

MEUNIER CARLIN & CURFMAN LLC

By: /s/ John W. Harbin

WARREN J. THOMAS
 JOHN W. HARBIN
 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant NUMBER 14 B.V. and Specially Appearing for Counter-Defendants RUDY ESCHAUZIER and NICO VAN RIJN

Dated: July 24, 2024

ROPERS MAJESKI PC

By: /s/ Amanda M. Ogata

MICHAEL J. IOANNOU
 KEVIN W. ISAACSON
 ANGIE CHANG
 AMANDA M. OGATA
 Attorneys for Defendants and Counter-Claimants ANALOG DEVICES, INC. and MAXIM INTEGRATED PRODUCTS, INC.

ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 5-1 (i)(3)

The undersigned attests pursuant to Local Rule 5-1(i)(3) that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the other signatories hereto.

Dated: July 24, 2024

/s/ Amanda M. Ogata

AMANDA M. OGATA

ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED that Defendants' time to respond or oppose Plaintiff's Motion to Dismiss shall be extended up to and including August 9, 2024. In addition, Defendants' time to respond or oppose Plaintiff's Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Another Party's Material Should be Sealed shall be extended up to and including August 2, 2024.

Dated: July 24, 2024


EDWARD J. DAVILA
United States District Court Judge

ROPERS
MAJESKI
A Professional Corporation
San Jose